SURF Selection Committee Evaluation Criteria

Student's Name:					
Classification: Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Is this a first time faculty mentor?*	Yes	No
Institution:			Department:		
Faculty Mentor:					

Scoring Criteria	Possible Points	Points Awarded
Student's academic success (GPA, extracurricular activities, leadership, etc.)	9	
 Mentor qualifications (clear plan for support, research history, experience in field of the proposal, contributions to undergraduate research) 	9	
 Innovation/Originality (generates new ideas and/or applies existing ideas in a new context). 	9	
 Significance of the study (outcomes are clearly proposed, impact is anticipated) STEM - Addresses an area of importance to the discipline and shows potential to advance the science) Non-STEM - Expands knowledge of best/creative practices in the field, increases creative output) 	9	
 Appropriateness of the methodology STEM - Research design, sampling approach, data collection protocol/plan, protection of human subjects and/or plan for seeking IRB approval Non-STEM - Preliminary research showing selection and citation of primary and secondary sources, hermeneutic framework, analytical approach is well defined or creative process and products are well planned/described 	9	
 Merit STEM - Utilizes established scientific principles, demonstrates how scientific knowledge will be gained, problem/topic being investigated is of value to the larger scientific community Non-STEM - Uses best practices and innovative approaches in the field, betters the understanding of the human experience, benefits and engages the field's community 	9	
Other criteria (reference letters, plan for dissemination, appropriate budget, feasibility of completing the project in projected timeframe, cohesiveness and coherency, clarity of writing	9	