December 2012 (659 & 660)

Safe, Orderly, and Productive School Legal News Note

December 2012

Johnny R. Purvis*

West's Education Law Reporter
September 1, 2011 – Vol. 269 No. 1 (Pages 1 – 425)
September 15, 2011 – Vol. 269 No. 2 (Pages 427 – 1008)

Terry James, Chair, Department of Leadership Studies, University of Central Arkansas
S. Ryan Niemeyer, Editor, Co-Director, Mississippi Teacher Corps and Assistant Professor, Leadership and Counselor Education, University of Mississippi
Shelly Albritton, Technology Coordinator, Department of Leadership Studies, University of Central Arkansas
Wendy Rickman, Assistant Professor, Department of Leadership Studies, University of Central Arkansas
Safe, Orderly, and Productive School Institute
Department of Leadership Studies
University of Central Arkansas
201 Donaghey Avenue
230 Mashburn
Conway, AR 72035
*Phone: 501-450-5258 (office)
*E-mail: jpurvis@uca.edu

The **Safe, Orderly, and Productive School Legal News Note** is a monthly update of selected significant court cases pertaining to school safety-security and student management issues. It is written by *Johnny R. Purvis for the **Safe, Orderly, and Productive School Institute** located in the Department of Leadership Studies at the University of Central Arkansas. If you have any questions or comments about these cases and their potential ramifications, please phone Purvis at **501-450-5258**. In addition, feel free to contact Purvis regarding educational legal concerns; school safety and security issues; crisis management; student discipline/management issues; and concerns pertaining to gangs, cults, and alternative beliefs.

Topics

"School District's Drug Testing Policy Did Not Violate Fourth Amendment"
Hageman v. Goshen County School Dist. No. 1 (Wyo., 256 P. 3d 487), June 6, 2011.

Students and their parents and guardians, who filed action seeking to have the district's policy requiring all students in grades 7 through 12 who participated in extracurricular activities to consent to random testing for alcohol and drugs declared unconstitutional, **failed** to demonstrate that the policy subjected students to searches that were unreasonable. Thus, the policy did not violate the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution, and furthermore, the **intent** of the policy was to further the district's interest in deterring drug and alcohol use among its students.

"Cartoon Student Sought to Place in School Newspaper was Lewd"
R. O. ex rel. Ochshorn v. Ithaca City School Dist. (C.A. 2 [N.Y.], 645 F. 3d 533), May 18, 2011.

School administrators' prohibiting the appearance of a cartoon depicting stick figures in various sexual positions in high school newspaper **was reasonably related to legitimate pedagogical concerns**, and therefore, did **not** violate the First Amendment speech rights of students who wrote and edited the school's newspaper. During and prior to the time in which the students sought to publish the cartoon, the school's administration became aware that an increasing number of their students were engaging in "risky sexual" behaviors. Furthermore, the school's administration had written letters to parents informing them of their concerns. In addition, the administration felt that publishing the cartoon made light of sexual relations and both mocked and made fun of the school's health education program

"Juvenile was "In Custody" for Miranda Purposes at the Time of His Initial Interview with Law Enforcement"
Kalmakoff v. State (Alaska, 257 P. 3d 108), July 29, 2011.

Note: This case pertained to a 15-year-old juvenile who was convicted as an adult for raping and murdering his 27-year-old cousin in a small village in Alaska that contained a population of less than 100 people. The young lady's nude body revealed that she had been shot twice in her head and had injuries consistent with sexual penetration just prior to her death. A jury convicted the plaintiff of both raping and murdering his cousin and shortly thereafter the plaintiff appealed his conviction on the grounds that his constitutional rights were violated as so stated in Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966). The Supreme Court of Alaska reversed and remanded the case for a new trial based on the following: The juvenile **was "in custody"** for Miranda purposes at the time of his initial interview with law enforcement officers. The juvenile was removed from school and transported to be interviewed in an officer's official issued vehicle and juvenile **likely believed** that he was to go with the officer for the interview. The interviewing officers were visibly armed and they did not tell the juvenile that he was free to leave or that he did not have to answer their questions. Furthermore, one of the officers repeatedly emphasized that the juvenile *needed* to tell them the truth.

"SRO was Acting as a School Official When He Searched Student's Locked Backpack"
State v. J.M. (Wash. App. Div. 1, 255 P. 3d 828), May 23, 2011.

High school student agreed to adjudication on the stipulated facts, and he was found guilty of possessing a dangerous weapon at school and the possession of less than 40 grams of marijuana. The juvenile appealed the ruling to the Court of Appeals of Washington, Division 1. The court held that the police officer on duty as a school resource officer (SRO) **was acting** as a school official when he conducted a warrantless search of the student's locked backpack on school grounds. The officer **needed only reasonable grounds for the search**, officer **was on duty as an SRO**, and **acting under his authority as an SRO** when he personally observed the activity that formed the basis for the search. Because the officer's primary duties as a SRO were to maintain a safe, secure, and orderly learning environment, **it was reasonable to infer** that his chief duty was **not** the discovery and prevention of a crime. Note: The officer observed the student standing at a sink in one of the school's restrooms, holding what appeared to be a baggie of marijuana and a medicine vial. Along with the discovery of the marijuana the officer also found an air pistol inside the student's locked backpack.

"Kindergartner Inappropriately Touches another Kindergartner on Her Butt"
Turner v. Nelson (Ky., 342 S.W. 3d 866), June 16, 2011.

Mandatory child abuse reporting requirement did **not** apply to require kindergarten teacher to report an alleged sexual abuse of one female kindergarten student by another female kindergarten student. Furthermore, Kentucky's mandatory reporting requirement did **not** apply when a child inappropriately touched another child unless a parent/guardian, or other person exercising custodian control or supervision, allowed such inappropriate touching to be committed or created or allowed such a risk of abuse. Upon learning of the incident (one student touched the other student's butt), the teacher forbade them from being together during school hours and, thus, the teacher did not allow the touching or create or allowed a risk to be created.

"School Security Guard Had Reasonable Suspicion to Make an Investigatory Stop of a Student Due to a Tip That He Previously had a Gun on School Property"
M. D. v. State (Fla. App. 1 Dist., 65 So. 3d 563), June 28, 2011.

Defendant (student) was convicted in the Circuit Court, Duval County, (Florida) of possessing a gun on school grounds. The student appealed. A Florida district court of appeals held that the search of the student by a school security guard, while under the supervision of a SRO, was **not** unreasonable. The student was brought to the school's security office to investigate a report that he had possessed a firearm on school property sometimes during the past 3 months. It was standard procedure for all students who were brought into the school's security office to be searched. Furthermore, it **was reasonable** to investigate the tip by separating the student from the general school population by taking him into a rather secure area of the school; otherwise, any other course of action would have subjected the school population to possible harm. Therefore, bringing the student into the school's security office **was the least restrictive means** to maintain safety. **Note:** The student did have a handgun in his possession at the time of the search.

Books of Possible Interest: Two recent books published by Purvis –

1. Leadership: Lessons From the Coyote, www.authorhouse.com
2. Safe and Successful Schools: A Compendium for the New Millennium-Essential Strategies for Preventing, Responding, and Managing Student Discipline, www.authorhouse.com

Note: Johnny R. Purvis is currently a professor in the Department of Leadership Studies at the University of Central Arkansas. He retired (30.5 years) as a professor, Director of the Education Service Center, Executive Director of the Southern Education Consortium, and Director of the Mississippi Safe School Center at the University of Southern Mississippi. Additionally, he serves as a law enforcement officer in both Arkansas and Mississippi. He can be reached at the following **phone numbers**: 501-450-5258 (office) and 601-310-4559 (cell)