

## Faculty Senate Research Empowerment Grant – Application Assessment Rubric

Criterion	Incomplete	Satisfactory	Exemplary
<b>Rationale/Goals Impact and Contribution 50%</b>	Rationale and goals are not clear; activities make a vague or unclear contribution to the academic discipline or teaching  <b>Range: 0 to 1 points</b>	Rationale and goals are provided; activities contribute the to academic discipline and/or enhance teaching effectiveness  <b>Range: 2 to 3 points</b>	Strong rationale, clear goals, and activities make significant contributions to academic discipline and/or strongly enhance teaching effectiveness  <b>Range: 4 to 5 points</b>
<b>Detailed Budget 30%</b>	Application does not include a detailed budget; budget is provided but unclear  <b>Range: 0 to 1 points</b>	Detailed, itemized budget is provided; some items are either unclear or not aligned with goals  <b>2 points</b>	Detailed, itemized budget covering all elements of activities; budget rationale is clear and applicable to goals  <b>3 points</b>
<b>Efforts to Obtain Additional Funding 10%</b>	Applicant has made little or no effort to obtain additional funding  <b>0 points</b>	Applicant is either seeking or has obtained departmental funding  <b>0.5 points</b>	Applicant has documented funding requests from both department and one or more additional sources  <b>1 point</b>
<b>Recognition of Faculty Collaboration 10%</b>	Activities do not involve two or more full-time UCA faculty members; very little evidence of faculty collaboration  <b>0 points</b>	Two or more faculty members involved; some evidence of inter-college collaboration  <b>0.5 points</b>	Two or more faculty members involved; strong evidence of inter-departmental and/or interdisciplinary collaboration  <b>1 point</b>