Rubric for Reviewing a Continuous Improvement Process Plan

Program / Unit Name: Date:

Program Purpose	☐ Exemplary	☐ Acceptable with Revisions	☐ Developing
	✓ clearly articulates why the program	✓ states why the program exists for	✓ does not state why the program exists
	exists for students	students, but the description is	for students
	✓ clearly supports the department	somewhat unclear	✓ is the same as the department mission,
	mission but does not duplicate it	✓ supports department mission but	or does not reference the department
	✓ clearly states relationship to the	relationship is somewhat unclear	mission at all
	mission or strategic goals of the	✓ does not reference the mission or	✓ does not relate to the mission or
	college and university	strategic goals of the college and	strategic goals of the college or
		university, but relationships can be	university
Program Goals	□ Evenulary	easily inferred Acceptable with Revisions	☐ Developing
Program Goals	☐ Exemplary	•	, 0
	✓ clearly and directly support the entire	✓ support most of the program purpose	✓ do not relate directly to the program
	program purpose	✓ are too narrow or specific, or are	purpose
	✓ are broad statements of the	worded as learning outcomes (i.e.,	✓ are unclear or poorly worded
	knowledge or skills students should	observable actions demonstrating	✓ cannot be operationalized by a
	acquire by graduation	knowledge or a skill)	measurable learning outcome
	✓ can be clearly operationalized by		
	multiple learning outcomes		
Student Learning	☐ Exemplary	☐ Acceptable with Revisions	☐ Developing
Outcomes (SLO)	✓ are identified for each goal	✓ are identified for each goal	✓ are not identified for each goal
	✓ are specific and measurable	✓ are too broad, or are worded as a goal	✓ are unclear or unrelated to the
	✓ are measured using appropriate and	✓ are measured using appropriate	program goals
	clearly described methods	methods, but the descriptions are	✓ are measured using inappropriate
	✓ set appropriate and justifiable	somewhat unclear	methods
	benchmarks	✓ set benchmarks, but justification is	✓ do not have benchmarks, or the
	✓ clearly identify who will be assessed	unclear ✓ identify who will be assessed and	benchmarks do not match the
	and where it will occur (e.g., a course) ✓ clearly state when and how often the	identity in the additional and	measure
	 clearly state when and how often the assessment will occur 	where it will occur, but lack some detail	✓ do not identify who will be assessed or where it will occur
		✓ do not clearly state when or how often	✓ do not state when or how often the
		the assessment will occur	assessment will occur
Notes:			