Proces	s adopted on: _	_10/15/2013	Process will be revisited on: 5/1/2014					
The desired	Program Namo		Continuous Improvement Process (CI-Process) Basics name from list of ADHE- or UCA-recognized programs.					
	Program Name:	state formal program i	name from fist of ADME- of OCA-recognized programs.					
	Doctoral Program	m in School Psychology –	Counseling Psychology Emphasis					
		Program Purpose: State WHY this program exists. The purpose should support the University, College, and Department mission statements, but it should NOT be a reiteration of those statements.						
Program Basics	scientist-practiti based psycholog trained profession Psychology Emp	The Counseling Psychology Emphasis (leading to a Ph.D. in School Psychology, Emphasis in Counseling Psychology) is based on the scientist-practitioner model of training and is designed to prepare doctoral-level counseling psychologists to provide evidence-based psychological services and conduct research in clinical and academic settings. The program is designed to prepare highly trained professionals who will provide mental health services in the local community and within the state. The Counseling Psychology Emphasis began in 2008 and is based upon the American Psychological Association (APA) accreditation standards. Accreditation by APA will be sought at the earliest possible opportunity.						
	Goal 1: Progran	n goals state the faculty'	's broad expectations of the knowledge, skills, or abilities held by program					
	45							
	Students will function as scientist-practitioners of counseling psychology, who use the scientific method in both research and practice settings.							
	Goal 2:							
	Students will pra	actice as counseling psych	hologists in a professional, ethical, and socially responsible manner.					
	Goal 3:							
als)								
· go	0-14							
e 2-4	Goal 4:							
hav								
Program Goals (Typically programs have 2-4 goals)	Goal 5:							
ogra								
Pr								
Call	Goal 6:							
rypi								
	Goal 7:							
	Goat 7:							
	Goal 8:							

Process adopted on: 10/15/2013	Process will be revisited on: 5/1/2014
--------------------------------	--

Program-Level Continuous Improvement Process (CI-Process) Plan Data Collection Who & How: Indicate who will collect the data and how data will be collected. Members of the Counseling Psychology Emphasis Committee will compile assessment data from various sources (detailed below). The Director of Training of this program will ensure the collection of this data. Timeline: Indicate when the data will be collected. Data will be compiled by September of each year. **Data Analysis** Who: Indicate who, by name or position, is responsible for organizing the data and performing an initial analysis of the data to determine the extent to which the benchmarks for the tested student learning outcomes were achieved. The Director of Training is responsible for organizing the data and performing an initial analysis of the data to determine the extent to which the benchmarks for the tested student learning outcomes were achieved. Timeline: Indicate when the data will be analyzed. Data will be analyzed by October each year. Closing the Loop Process Data Dissemination The Director of Training will share results with the Counseling Psychology Emphasis Committee to discuss the results and possible curricular and/or pedagogical changes. The Director of Training will meet with the committee in October. Resulting How: Indicate how the Program Director will formally share results and present desired program changes with the Responsible Authority Actions The Director of Training will collate committee responses and consider them at a subsequent spring committee meeting. At this meeting, the committee will consider changes. Changes recommended by the Director of Training will be forward to the Department Chair for approval and implementation. Timeline: Indicate when the data and faculty feedback will be shared. Proposed changes have been approved by the Counseling Psychology Emphasis Committee and the department chair and have been implemented. Re-How: Indicate how the desired program changes will be put into place and what data will be collected following the changes. If process for collecting and analyzing data is different than what assessment/ Evaluation is stated above, indicate how it will be different here. The impact of any changes will be assessed the following year. The data related to these changes will then be disseminated and analyzed according the procedure outlined above. Timeline: Indicate when the data will be collected following these changes. The year after data collection and analysis.

100033 adopted oil. 10/13/2013	Process adopted on:	10/15/2013	Process will be revisited on: 5/1/2014
--------------------------------	---------------------	------------	--

CI-Process Student Learning Outcome Information Sheet Repeat table as needed for <u>each</u> Student Learning Outcome

Student Learning Outcome #1	A Student Learning Outcome is a specific and measurable indicator of student progress toward a program goal(s). Graduates will demonstrate knowledge and appropriate use of psychological and counseling theories and research.
Related Program Goal(s)	State the program goal addressed by this Student Learning Outcome. A "SLO" may address a single goal or multiple goals. Program Goal 1 and 2
Assessment Activity/Artifact/ Output	State the activity that will be directly assessed for the above Student Learning Outcome. Program Comprehensive Examination (Counseling Theories/Group Section, Personality Assessment Section, and Psycho-educational Assessment Section) Program Qualifying Examination (Professional Identity and Career Development/Counseling Section, Ethics and Multicultural Assessment Section, Evidence-Based Treatments and Assessment) Practicum Supervisor Evaluation (All sections and items) Internship Supervisor Evaluation (All sections and items)
Assessment Method	Explain how the quality of the above activity will be assessed. Essay examination scores. Practicum and internship supervisor rubrics.
Benchmark	State the performance expectation for the above activity, and some justification for that expectation. For the Program Comprehensive Examination, 80% of the students will score at least a 3 on all 4 items of the attached rubric. For the Program Qualifying Examination, 80% of the students will score at least a 3 on all 4 items of the attached rubric. For the Practicum Supervisor Ratings, 90% of the students will score at least a "3" on a 5 point scale. For the Internship Supervisor Ratings, 90% of the students will score at least a "3" on a 5 point scale.
Academic Course of Assessment	State who will be assessed using the above activity AND in which academic course the assessment will occur. Students are required to pass the Comprehensive Exam, the Qualifying Exam, and successfully complete Counseling Practica (P6381, P6382, and all four classes of P7365) and Internship (P9606) before graduating from the program.
Frequency	State when AND how frequently the above activity will be assessed. Comprehensive Exam is given every November and April. Qualifying Examination is given every April. Practicum is offered in fall and spring semesters. Counseling Internship is offered in the fall and spring semesters.
Data Summary	Provide a short summary of the results of the above activity AND the date these results were compiled

	Data Summary	Provide a short summary of the results of the above activity AND the date these results were compiled. Currently, all enrolled students have passed the above requirements, when attempted (except internship – our first intern is currently completing her internship). However, one student did not pass a section of the comprehensive examination and was assigned a remediation activity which she is now completing.
lons	Result	Exceeded X Met Did Not Meet The benchmark for this activity (stated above).
Observations	Responsible Authority Analysis	Authority Responsible for Analysis: Provide the position of the person responsible for the program. Elson M. Bihm, Ph.D. Date of Analysis: Provide the date on which Responsible Authority reviewed data. July 1, 2013
		Comments: Provide comments about data from Responsible Authority
	Department/ Area/ Program Faculty	Presented to Program Faculty by: Provide position of person responsible for sharing results with relevant faculty. Director of Training
		Date of Presentation: Provide the date on which presentation to faculty was conducted. Will be concluded October 2013.
		Comments: Provide comments about the data from the relevant faculty Ongoing.

Proc	ess adopted on:	_10/15/2013 Process will be revisited on: 5/1/2014						
3 10 10	Conclusion							
	X Continue to assess next assessment period ☐ Rotate out of assessment (to be assessed again:) ☐ Curricular change							
	Pedagogic change Assessment Process change Benchmark change Other:							
	Planned Implementation Date: Provide date on which change(s) will be made based on data for							
Closing the Loop	Assessment Data- Driven Change	May 1						
sing 1	Acknowledgemen	Provide signature of Department Chair acknowledging above results. Date						
Clo	Acknowledgemen							
		CI-Process Student Learning Outcome Information Sheet Repeat table as needed for <u>each</u> Student Learning Outcome						
	Student Learning	A Student Learning Outcome is a specific and measurable indicator of student progress toward a						
	Outcome #2	program goal(s). Graduates will demonstrate ethical and professional standards of mental health care practice.						
	Related Program	State the program goal addressed by this Student Learning Outcome. A "SLO" may address a single goal						
	Goal(s)	or multiple goals. Program Goal 2						
	Assessment Activity/Artifact/	State the activity that will be directly assessed for the above Student Learning Outcome. Program Comprehensive Examination (Legal and Ethical Issues section).						
оше	Output Program Qualifying Examination (Ethics and Multiculturalism, and Evidence-Based Treatments and							
utc	A	Emplein househouselite of the should establish will be assessed						
Student Learning Outcome	Assessment Method	Explain how the quality of the above activity will be assessed. Essay examination scores. Practicum and internship supervisor rubrics						
ıt Lea	Benchmark	State the performance expectation for the above activity, and some justification for that expectation. For the Program Comprehensive Examination (Legal and Ethical Issues section), 80% of the students will score at least a 2 and 14 issues of the attacked mibrie.						
ıdeı		at least a 3 on all 4 items of the attached rubric. For the Program Qualifying Examination (Ethics and Multiculturalism, and Evidence-Based Treatments and						
Str		Assessment Sections), 80% of the students will score at least a 3 on all 4 items of the attached rubric.						
		For the Internship Supervisor Ratings (All sections), 90% of the students will score at least a "3" on a 5 point scale.						
	Academic Course	State who will be assessed using the above activity AND in which academic course the assessment will						
	of Assessment	occur. Students are required to pass the Comprehensive Exam and successfully complete doctoral internship before graduating from the program.						
	Frequency	State when AND how frequently the above activity will be assessed.						
2111		Comprehensive Exam is given every November and April. Qualifying Exam given every April.						
		Counseling Internship is offered in the fall and spring semesters.						
Fred A	Data Summary	Provide a short summary of the results of the above activity AND the date these results were compiled.						
	Data Summary	Data are currently being analyzed for examinations and we are expecting data from the first internship supervisor around Sept. 1, 2013.						
Observations	Result	☐ Exceeded ☐ Met ☐ Did Not Meet The benchmark for this activity (stated above).						
Obser	Responsible Authority	Authority Responsible for Analysis: Provide the position of the person responsible for the program. Elson M. Bihm, Ph.D.						
	Analysis	Date of Analysis: Provide the date on which Responsible Authority reviewed data. July 1, 2013						

Comments: Provide comments about data from Responsible Authority

Process adopted on:	_10/15/2013	3	Process will be revisited on: 5/1/2014	
178	- 2			

-3-						
Closing the Loop Analysis	Department/ Area/ Program Faculty	Presented to Program Faculty by: Provide position of person responsible for sharing results with relevant faculty. Director of Training Date of Presentation: Provide the date on which presentation to faculty was conducted. Will be concluded October 2013. Comments: Provide comments about the data from the relevant faculty Ongoing.				
	Conclusion	X Continue to assess next assessment period Rotate out of assessment (to be assessed again:) Curricular change Pedagogic change Assessment Process change Benchmark change Other:				
	Assessment Data- Driven Change	Planned Implementation Date: Provide date on which change(s) will be made based on data for to May 1				
	Acknowledgement Provide signature of Department Chair acknowledging above results.					
	Acknowledgeme	Provide signature of College committee chairperson or College Dean acknowledging above results. Date				

Process adopted on:	10/15/2013	Process will be revisited on: 5/1/2014	

UCA Counseling Psychology Comprehensive Exam Scoring Rubric

Student ID #:	Rater's initials:			
Overall Ratings for Essay Items				
A) Accuracy of responses				_
1	2	34	6	5
Major inaccuracies		Minor inaccuracies		No inaccuracies
B) Thoroughness of respons	es			
1	2	3 4		5
Missed main points		Missed minor points/details	(c) (c)	Thorough
C) Legal / ethical considerat	ions	3		
1	2	3 4	9	5
Inadequately addressed		Ethics addressed		Ethics addressed
ethical issues		adequately		exceptionally
D) Clarity of responses				
1	2	3 4		5
Most ideas unclear		Some ideas clear/ Some ideas unclear	Ideas	presented clearly

PASS = Score of 3 or above in ALL areas

Process adopted on:10/15/2013	Process will be revisited on: 5/1/2014	
-------------------------------	--	--

UCA Counseling Psychology Qualifying Exam Scoring Rubric

Student ID #:		Rate		ater's initials:	
Over	all Ratings for Essay Items				
A)	Accuracy of responses	2	3	4	5
Majo	or inaccuracies		Minor inaccuracies		No inaccuracies
B)	Thoroughness of respon	ses	3	4	5
Miss	ed main points		Missed minor points/o	details	Thorough
C)	Legal / ethical considera	tion	S		
	1	2	3	4	5
	equately addressed al issues		Ethics addressed adequately		Ethics addressed exceptionally
D)	Clarity of responses	2	3	4	5
Mos	t ideas unclear		Some ideas clear/ Some ideas unclear		Ideas presented clearly

PASS = Score of 3 or above in ALL areas

Process adopted on:	10/15/2013	Process will be revisited on: 5/1/2014
- TO - TO THE STORY (TO SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE		

UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY AND COUNSELING

EVALUATION FOR COUNSELING PRACTICUM

On-Site Supervisor Rating

Student

Supervisor

Agency

Department

Dates of Practicum: From

To

Circle one: 1 Mid-Semester Evaluation

2 Final Evaluation

Please evaluate the student's performance in each of the areas below by circling the appropriate number on the scale. If the student did not engage in a particular activity listed, please circle NA.

Numerical Ratings

1 = poor

2 = fair

3 = average

4 = good

5 = excellent

NA = not applicable

A. Professionalism

Meeting appointments and deadlines	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
2. Preparation and organization	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
3. Report writing and communication	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
4. Ability to work with staff	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
5. Appropriate ethical conduct	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
6. Personal appearance	1	2	3	4	5	NA	

Process adopted on:	10/15/2013
---------------------	------------

Process will be revisited on: 5/1/2014

2.Letter grade recommended for this F practicum (optional).

ABCD

Process adopted on: 10/15/2013 Process	ess will be revisited on: 5/1/2014
--	------------------------------------

UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY AND COUNSELING

COUNSELING PSYCHOLOGY INTERN EVALUATION

Intern		Supervisor						
Agency		Department						
Dates of Internship: From		To						
	mth/day/yr	mth/day/y	r					
Circle one: Mid-semester	r evaluation Fin	al evaluation						
Please evaluate the intern's on competence to become I	performance in each icensed and to enter p	of the areas below by practice. If the interr	circling to	he appropr ngage in a p	iate number particular ac	on the sca tivity lister	ile. Please d, please c	rate the interircle NA.
A. Professionalism 1 = poor	2 = fair	3 = average		4 = g	ood	5 = exc	cellent	
1. Meeting appointments	and deadlines		1	2	3	4	5	NA
2. Preparation and organi	zation		1	2	3	4	5	NA
3. Communication with s	taff		1	2	3	4	5	NA
4. Ability to work with sta	aff		1	2	3	4	5	NA
5. Appropriate ethical cor	nduct		1	2	3	4	5	NA
6. Personal appearance			1	2	3	4	5	NA
7. Receptiveness in super	vision		1	2	3	4	5	NA
8. Awareness of departme	ental/clinic goals	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
9. Timeliness in completi	ng charts/reports		1	2	3	4	5	NA
Respect for clients Awareness of own ski Familiarity with on-si and other resources			1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	5 5 5	NA NA NA
13. Familiarity with off-s and other resources	ite referral sources	1	2	3	4	5	NA	
Specific Strengths/Concern	S							
B. Assessment and Diagno	osis							
1 Close Supervsion 2	3 Modoret	e Supervision	4 M:	nimal Sup	5	Not		NA
Needed		Entry Level)	IVII	ilililai Sup	CIVISIOII	NOL	Applic	able
1. Intake skills			1	2	3	4	5	NA
2. Basic use of personality	y assessment		1	2	3	4	5	NA
3. Basic use of intellectua	l assessment		1	2	3	4	5	NA
4. Basic use of psychoedu	acational assessment		1	2	3	4	5	NA
5. Ability to integrate info	ormation and make d	iagnosis	1	2	3	4	5	NA
6. Report writing skill			1	2	3	4	5	NA
7. Awareness of site's clir	nical population chara	cteristics	1	2	3	4	5	NA

Specific Strengths/Concerns

C. Therapy Skills and Knowledge

1	2	3	4	5		NA		
1. Ability to	establish rapport wit	h clients	1	2	3	4	5	NA
2. Ability to	conceptualize clients	s' issues	1	2	3	4	5	NA
3. Application	on and integration of	counseling theory	1	2	3	4	5	NA
4. Understan	ding of client-therap	ist dynamics	1	2	3	4	5	NA
5. Multicultu	ral Awareness		1	2	3	4	5	NA
 Ability to formulate treatment goals and effectively bring about change 		1	2	3	4	5	NA	
7. Group psy	chotherapy skilk		1	2	3	4	5	NA

Specific Strengths/Concerns

D. Overall Rating

1. Overall quality of performance during internship

1 = poor 2 = fair 3 = average 4 = good 5 = excellent

2. Recommendation to enter professional practice

Recommend without Reservation

Recommend with Some Concern Do not Recommend

Explain overall rating